the proposed extension of the probationary period, that conversation, in and of itself, with you did not interfere or disrupt the operations or efficiency of the fire department, did it?

- A. Not as I know of, no.
- Q. And after that telephone conversation with Mr. Davis, did you communicate with anyone the fact that you had had this telephone conversation with Mr. Davis?
 - A. I did.

- Q. And who did you tell?
- A. I think we were leaving one evening and, if I'm not mistaken, we were standing between my office and the city manager's office. And I think

 Mr. Roberts was there and Ms. Goodwin, the personnel director, was there, and I made a comment I don't know if the fire chief was there or not, but I made the comment that Mr. Davis had called me about the extension of the probationary time.
 - Q. Did you say anything beyond that?
 - A. No.
- Q. Okay. And what was the response of the individuals when you communicated that Mr. Davis had called you about the probationary period?
 - A. No response to me.

- 0. They didn't say anything like, well, gee, that's outrageous, that broke the chain of command, they should be not talking to you, Mr. Mayor, anything like that? I was kind of -- we were all on the way out the door, so there was really no conversation with me. If they had conversation after that, I was not privy to that. In that conversation, or really at any time, did you recommend that Mr. Davis be investigated or charged or disciplined because he had a telephone conversation with you? No. A. Did you ever suggest or request that Mr. Davis be terminated for that conversation with you? Α. No. Did you ever authorize or approve
 - A. No.

city?

7

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 .

22

23

24

25

Q. Based upon all the circumstances and information that you have, Mr. Mayor, do you think it was fair and appropriate that Mr. Davis be terminated because he had a telephone conversation

Mr. Davis's termination from his employment with the

with you on April 17, 2006?

2.1

- A. Well, that's not my decision. Employees don't work for me.
- Q. Oh, I understand that's not your decision. But as an individual and as the mayor of the city, do you think that was fair and reasonable, that he be fired, as an 8-year veteran of the fire department, because he had a telephone conversation with you about the proposed change in the probationary period?
- A. I'm not privy to the employees' records or their performance on the job, so I don't -- I can't really make that conclusion, because it's -- I think -- I mean, I owned a business and, typically, you have, you know, records of an employee's performance, good or bad, that lead up to either them being promoted or rewarded or terminated or disciplined. So I can't make that call, you know, based on the information that I have, because I don't have -- I have very little information.
- Q. Okay. But again, based upon what you know, focusing on the telephone conversation that you had with Mr. Davis on April 17, 2006, if you as mayor had the authority to terminate city employees, would you have terminated Mr. Davis for that telephone

1	conversation?				
2	A. Again, I say that, you know, depending on				
3	what				
4	Q. I'm just talking about				
5	A what led up to that.				
6	Q. I'm just talking about				
7	A. If you said				
8	THE REPORTER: Hold on. Hold on. Y'all				
9	are talking over each other and y'all need to				
10	slow down.				
11	Q. Let me rephrase it. Again, we just				
12	reviewed that the reason for his termination was the				
13	communication with you by the telephone. So just				
14	focusing on that reason or that single basis for his				
15	discharge, if you had the authority as mayor to				
16	terminate city employees, would you have fired				
17	Mr. Davis because of his telephone conversation with				
18	you?				
19	MR. GRAHAM: Can we go off the record for a				
20	minute?				
21 -	MR. WOODLEY: Sure.				
22	(Discussion held off the record.)				
23	MR. WOODLEY: Let's go back on the record.				
24	Q. Mr. Mayor, let's try this one more time.				
25	If you, as the mayor, did have the authority to				

terminate city employees, would you have fired Mr. Davis because solely of that telephone conversation he had with you on April 17, 2006?

A. No.

- Q. Okay. Did you ever mention to anyone within the city's management structure that it was unfair or unreasonable to terminate Mr. Davis?
- A. My conversations with the city manager were, you know, I heard that this employee had been terminated and if, in fact, you know first, is it true, you know, just kind of you know, this is what I heard. So trying to get the clarification on it because, you know, you hear a lot of things and some of them just don't turn out to be true, they're just rumors. I wanted to hear from the city manager if it was true or not.
 - Q. And you had that discussion with him?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Did you express concerns that that was perhaps unreasonable or unfair or should not have been done with regard to the discharge of Mr. Davis?
- A. I guess if I remember correctly, I guess my interest was in finding in saying, you know, was this was this, you know, based on this phone call. So was this you know, when I made the

Comment to you that I had gotten a call from Mr. David Davis, is that what this is all about? And then was told that, you know, basically this is just part of — you know, part of an evaluation. You know, it's just part of a record. So at that point, it became very clear that it was a personnel issue and that it didn't have anything to do with me.

- Q. Do you recall if the city manager told you, or anyone else told you, that in the past Mr. Davis had spoken to the media and that there were newspaper articles previously about issues involving the operations of the Fire Department and Public Safety, and that that was taken into account in terms of the discharge of Mr. Davis?
- A. I was never told that, no. I mean, I knew he made the comments to the media because I had read them and seen them, but not that that was a part of the termination.
- Q. Did anyone within the city, including the City Manager or Chief Hunter, get back to you concerning this criticism of your activities that we discussed earlier in Exhibit 23 and tell you, as mayor, you shouldn't be talking with city employees, it's outside the chain of command, we think it's

contrary to the merit system regulations and you, Mr. Mayor, shouldn't do that anymore. Did anyone get back to you on that subject?

- A. The only conversation I had concerning this about not specifically about this memo. But after this conversation took place my conversation with Mr. Davis was that you know, was a reminder from the city manager that we had passed we had sent a letter, a unanimous letter, to him that he was our he was our spokesperson for the city to the association.
- Q. I'm sorry. I'm not sure I followed you. There was a subsequent document?
- A. No, no, no. After our conversation, the city manager -- and I'm assuming that it --
- Q. And when you say our -- just so the record is clear -- I'm sorry. I didn't mean to interrupt you -- when you say "our conversation", which conversation are you referring to?
- A. This was after the conversation that we had concerning Mr. Davis and I's conversation. And I'm assuming --
 - Q. And who is "we"?
- A. The city manager and I. Mr. Roberts and myself.

- 4
- All right. Go ahead.
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25

- 0. I'm sorry?
- Α. He and I had a conversation, and he reminded me that the city council had appointed him as a spokesperson for the city council to the association. And my response at that point was I was returning a phone call to David Davis, not the association -- which is what my message was, and that was what my conversation was.
- And since you again addressed the telephone conversation with Mr. Davis, did he tell you in that conversation that he was expressing the concerns that were shared by other firefighters with regard to extending the probationary period?
 - I don't recall that, no, sir. Α.
 - 0. You don't remember?
 - No, sir. A.
- Has there ever been a discussion between 0. you and city council members individually or collectively that you had exceeded your authority when you have conversations with city employees about policy matters or department issues?
- There was -- there was a conversation that I had with the District Attorney concerning one of our council members talking to him unofficially about my conversations with a department head or,

actually, two department heads -- or not really department heads; one chief and one assistant chief.

Q. And what was that about?

A. One was about the assistant police chief and one of his officers told somebody that — as he was responding to a phone call, that we were about 30 officers short. And I questioned them on that.

And then the other was when Chief Hunter made the comment apparently — I don't know to the city manager or one of our council members — that I had told him to change his position on a subdivision fire code about the widths of the road.

And I had a conversation with the District Attorney, and I just told him that I did have the conversation with the assistant chief concerning his comments about us being 30 officers short or however many he said. I don't know if 30 was the right number. Seems like that comes to mind. And that my conversations with the fire chief, I was not directing him to change his mind but I was asking him about the fire codes.

Q. With regard to the termination of David Davis, did you ever ask the city manager or Fire Chief Hunter to reconsider the matter of Mr. Davis's termination?

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Do you have the authority as mayor to make such a request for reconsideration of the termination of the city employee?
 - No.
- Now, are you aware that Mr. Davis, after he was discharged, appealed that termination to the City Personnel Board?
 - Α. Yes.
- Did you attend that hearing before the Personnel Board?
 - A. No.
- Did you have any input into the members of the Personnel Board about their deliberations or their decision concerning his termination?
- The only interaction that we had --A . No. which we have no interaction, but we appoint the Personnel Review Board members and that's -- then they work on their own at that point.
- The members of the Personnel Board, is that a compensated position?
 - No. A.
 - Strictly volunteer? 0.
 - A. Yes.
 - Okay. Let me invite your attention to Q.

several exhibits at the end of the binder, which are newspaper articles, and you can start with Exhibit 31, please. This appears to be a newspaper report in the Columbus Ledger-Enquirer. And you'll see the title there is PC Firefighters' Representative Terminated. I assume PC stands for Phenix City. And this is concerning, of course, the discharge of David Davis. Do you remember seeing this particular article in the newspaper?

A. I think I did, yes.

Q. On the right-hand column, there's a quote from Mr. Davis, who was interviewed for this article, in which he apparently said, quote, morale is at the lowest point since I've been here, end quote, that he mentioned in September of 2005.

Did it ever come to your attention as the mayor that the morale in the fire department was low or poor?

- A. I had heard that because of seeing stuff like this in the paper.
- Q. Did that trouble you when you heard that, when you saw that information in the paper or heard that morale was bad in the fire department?
- A. Well, certainly. It always -- and, of course, it -- you know, what we try to do as the

city council is to make sure that if there are issues like that, that the city manager is working on those things.

- Q. And you indicated earlier, Mr. Mayor, that you did have at least one meeting with the city manager concerning issues in the fire department such as morale. Did you have any other meetings or discussions with the city manager about how to work on these concerns and improve them?
 - A. No.

- Q. Just that one meeting?
- A. And like I said, that was not just a meeting. When I say we don't typically, we don't meet to our meetings are more on the fly than they are a plan. And, usually, when we sit down and talk I'm only here part—time. So when I come in, I have, typically, either a laundry list a physical laundry list or a list that I bring to him, or e—mail and say, you know, this is what I've heard or this is what I've seen or this is the kind of things that and mine are more in line of citizen complaints than they are employee complaints. Because I just don't I don't hear those type of things because for obvious reasons.
 - Q. Were you contacted by any newspaper or

media representatives after the discharge of Mr. Davis concerning that very subject?

- A. About his termination?
- O. Yes.

- A. Yes.
- Q. And did you respond to those inquiries by the media?
- A. Like I say, I typically don't respond on personnel issues.
 - Q. But on this one, did you?
- A. I don't think like I said, unless I'm pushed, I just don't say anything. My comment is typically, I don't comment on personnel issues, or those are items that you need to speak to the city manager about, or the personnel director. But, typically, if it has to do with the city employee, I refer them to the city manager.
- Q. But other than typically -- in this particular matter concerning the termination of Mr. Davis, did you give any information or communications to the media about his discharge?
 - A. No.
- Q. Has anyone ever contacted you about the further employment of Mr. Davis after he was fired any potential employers or potential fire

departments -- about possibly employing Mr. Davis?

A. No.

- Q. In the last two years since you have been the mayor, have you considered possibly the removal of Mr. Roberts as a city manager?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And could you tell us about that, please?
- A. I don't see how it refers to this case, I guess is -- I mean, could you please explain that to me, how the removal of the city manager in the last couple of months refers to --
- Q. Sure. The city manager, Mr. Roberts, is an individual defendant in this case, so his role in terms of the discharge and his functioning on the job is very important to us as an issue in this lawsuit. So if, in fact, you have suggested, as I understand, or recommended the removal of the city manager perhaps for poor performance, we want to know about that. So that's the purpose of my question. So let me readdress the question one more time.
 - A. Okay.
- Q. Have you taken into account or considered or recommended the possible removal of Mr. Roberts as the city manager?

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 1718
- 19
- 20
- 21 .
- 2223
- 24
- 25

- A. Yes, I have.
- Q. And why did you do that and what were the circumstances surrounding your position?
- A. The circumstances surrounding my position were the communications that we've had over the last couple of months and his, I guess, inability to communicate effectively with me, or at least what I think is effective with me.

It's very difficult for a city manager to do his job if he can't communicate with the mayor or the city council members. And it's impossible for the mayor and city council to do their job if they can't communicate with the city manager.

But it had nothing to do with job performance. It was more about communications and some reactions that I've gotten from the city manager over some issues that I've had.

- Q. Okay. Well, you've been very general. So could you be more specific in terms of poor communications? What precisely do you mean that raised your concerns about the city manager?
- A. Well, on one particular issue, I brought something to him, and his reaction was very short and abrupt; and when I started talking to him about that, his reaction was instead of thinking about

the response that he made that could have not set very well with me, you know, his reaction was to get upset and start raising his voice and turning red in the face and saying, you know, is that it or something to that — you know, is that all you wanted to talk about or something like that. So that's —

- Q. What was the topic that was discussed?
- A. The topic that was discussed was about a complaint that I had had from a lady in the city. She had left me a voice mail.
- Q. About what subject? What was the complaint?
 - A. About the police department.
- Q. Any other poor communications or concerns that you had about the city manager which prompted you to consider his removal?
- A. Well, for some reason, when at certain points where I've been critical of the city manager on issues or critical of specific departments, he gets very defensive and won't speak to me for a week or whatever until I have to go in and say, you know, what's the problem, you know, what do we need to do to solve this problem? So and that, you know, like I say, becomes an issue of communication, and

1	it just got to a place where I no longer wanted to			
2	deal with that, and that's why I what prompted me			
3	to send a letter asking for his resignation.			
4	Q. What was his response to your letter asking			
5	for his resignation?			
6	A. He responded back that I needed two other			
7	votes, a majority of the council, to remove him from			
8	office.			
9	Q. Did the issue of his possible removal come			
10	up for a vote before the city council?			
11	A. No.			
12	Q. Didn't get that far?			
13	A. No.			
14	Q. Did you have a majority support if you had			
15	proposed his removal?			
16	A. No.			
17	Q. And how long ago did this situation occur?			
18	Just within recent months?			
19	A. Yes. Very recently.			
20	MR. WOODLEY: All right, Mr. Mayor. That's			
21 ·	all the questions that I have. I want to thank			
22	you for coming to your deposition today.			
23	(The deposition concluded at 10:38 a.m.)			
24	* * * * * * * * *			
25				

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

STATE	OF	ALABAMA

MONTGOMERY COUNTY

I, Shannon Williams, Certified Shorthand
Reporter and Commissioner for the State of Alabama
at Large, hereby certify that on April 4, 2007, I
reported the deposition of JEFFREY SCOTT HARDIN, who
was first duly sworn or affirmed to speak the truth
in the matter of the foregoing cause, and that pages
1 through 78 contain a true and accurate
transcription of the examination of said witness by
counsel for the parties set out herein.

I further certify that I am neither of kin nor of counsel to any of the parties to said cause, nor in any manner interested in the results thereof.

This 8th day of April, 2007.

SHANNON M. WILLIAMS, CSR Commissioner for the State of Alabama at Large

MY COMMISSION EXPIRES: 1/14/2010